

1 CARNEY: Next you will hear from Dr. Goldsmith. Dr. Goldsmith
2 wrote and was published an article called "TV Broadcast Towers and Cancer,
3 The End of Innocence in Radiofrequency Exposures. And this study is in
4 evidence as Exhibit 112 and he comes to a different conclusion from Dr.
5 Johnson. His conclusion as a trained epidemiologist was world renowned is that
6 the epidemiological studies are showing increased cancers in relation to where
7 broadcast towers are. He conducted a meta study where he combined all those
8 studies and came to that conclusion. He was eagerly awaiting the results of the
9 brain tumor audit on Lookout Mountain when he passed away but here are his
10 words. Okay. Oh and he also wrote a big paper on the health effects of micro
11 inaudible. TAPE- The Russians between 1953 and 1976 irradiated with radio
12 frequency the personnel of the U.S. embassy in Moscow. It wasn't clear why
13 they decided to do this and it wasn't until the ambassador came down with
14 leukemia and then a second ambassador who replaced him later came down
15 with leukemia that the serious attention was felt and samples of blood were
16 taken and sent back to the U.S. and they were found to show increased
17 frequency of DNA abnormalities a failure to reproduce. So one of the mysteries
18 of the cold war why did the Russians irradiate the U.S. embassy could be
19 answered because they wanted to produce this interference with the ability to
20 concentrate, the ability to sleep, the ability to think which was, which were
21 aspects of the radiofrequency sickness syndrome which they defined previously
22 under experimental conditions. And they succeeded not only in doing that.
23 They succeeded in getting the U. S. leading epidemiologists to document it and

1 then ignored it. Well we no longer can act as though non ionizing radiation is
2 innocent and we longer can act as though the lack of thermal effects, of
3 radiofrequency means that there are no health effects and this is the kind of
4 innocence that I wanted to put an end to.

5 CARNEY: Next and last, Dr. Litovitz at a congressional staff
6 briefing. If you look you will see me sitting to his side in one shot and he
7 definitely saw a correlation of many health effects from this kind of radiation that
8 impairs the body's ability to repair itself from daily damage. And not only was
9 this congressional staff briefing very well received, the national league of cities
10 has now endorsed this legislation and that is your exhibit 91. And the legislation
11 we will ask that it be reintroduced should Lake Cedar Group once again threaten
12 preemption. Dr. Ted Litovitz is a physicist at Catholic University,
13 BioElectricomagnetics Laboratory and has numerous publications. TAPE- The
14 question today is very simple. U.S. legislators are being asked by consumer
15 groups to put in place some legislation. They're concerned. They're worried.
16 The real question is are they irrational? You cannot start making legislation
17 which limits commerce on the basis of irrational fear. In general what you worry
18 about at first is can an electromagnetic field have any effect at all on your body?
19 The sunlight has an effect on your body but it's not going to kill you. So just
20 because there's a biologic effect doesn't mean that there is a health hazard.
21 Then after you find biologic effects in the laboratory you have to ask the
22 question are there health effects? The first question is have any
23 electromagnetic field effects been seen for non thermal radiation? Now what